

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/590,060	0	6/08/2000	John Edward Pfeifer	PFE-004	1011	
	7590	12/09/2002				
David P Gor		uire	EXAMINER			
65 Woods End Stamford, CT				ROSENBERGER, RICHARD A		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2877		
				DATE MAILED: 12/09/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			DL.
	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	
•	09/590,060	PFEIFER ET AL.	
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
-	Richard A Rosenberger	2877	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication Peri df rReply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspond nce ac	Idress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi oriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered time ITHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ty. ommunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un Disposition of Claims			ne merits is
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-15 and 17-26</u> is/are pendin	g in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) <u>15 and 17-22</u> is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4, 6-14, 23-26</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	, , ,		
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _		isapproved by the Examir	ier.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in 12). The oath or declaration is objected to by the	. •		
· · · · ·	E LAGITITIET.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	nian miaitu undan 25 H C C	\$ 440(a) (d) as (6)	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eigh phonly under 35 U.S.C.	9 119(a)-(d) of (i).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	anto have been received		
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum			Stone
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa* See the attached detailed Office action for a	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom 			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Informal Patent Application (PT	

Application/Control Number: 09/590,060

Art.Unit: 2877

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6-14 and 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamura et al (US 6,306,577).

Tamura shows that it is known in the art to determine the rate at which some characteristic which can be measured by an optical test changes by determining the time which is required for the optical measurement to change from one level to another. The system of Tamura is an optical measurement (column 3, line 27-29; column 7, lines 30-34), which, as well known in the art, can be routinely measured by means of a system with a light source and a detector which send light to and receives light from the sample. The reference specifically mentions that the measurement can be "transmitted light intensity" (column 1, line 27; column 2, line 52), which at least clearly suggests having the light source and detector positioned opposite each other relative to the sample. The second threshold level is inherently "a predetermined percentage" of the first, any two thresholds values can be

Application/Control Number: 09/590,060

Art Unit: 2877

presented as one being a predetermined percentage of the other. The use of such a system would be obvious to use with any measurement which changes over time.

The reference teaches the use of calibration curves for different reactions be used to determine the amount of the substance being measured for (column 6, lines 59-60; column 8, lines 44-46; column 10, lines 65-66). Note that the reference teaches that the comparison with the calibration curve be done "automatically" (column 8, line 45); this requires that the curve be stored in computer memory in some manner, using a look-up table is a known manner of storing data in a computer memory and would have been obvious. It is notes that the instant specification does not disclose any details of how the "look-up table" is constructed or used, but rather assumes that look-up tables are so well known in the art that no disclosure beyond mere mention is required for adequate disclosure.

3. The art does not appear to teach "recording a maximum intensity of light transmitted through said ampoule by . . . identifying when said intensity of light transmitted through said ampoule stops increasing". Thus claims 15 is allowable, as are claims 17-22 dependent thereon.

Application/Control Number: 09/590,060

Art.Unit: 2877

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 5. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 2800 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The fax number is (703) 308-7722.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to R. A. Rosenberger whose telephone number is (703) 308-4804.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

R. A. Rosenberger 4 December 2002

Richard A. Rosenberger Primary Examinet